

9 September 1952

MEMORANDUM OF CONFERENCE

25X1A9a

PRESENT:

Mr. [REDACTED] CIA
Mr. [REDACTED] CIA
Mrs. Kindel, Comarce
Mr. Lyon, Comarce
Mr. Brooks, DIMS
Miss Lincoln, State
Miss Worthing, State

25X1A9a

Mr. [REDACTED] reported that at a conference with Messrs. Amory,

25X1A9a

[REDACTED] it was agreed that the OIT program should be continued, but that the intelligence sections relating to the Soviet Bloc should be supplemented by intensive coordination efforts under the supervision of the IWG. It was further agreed that ORR, in revising its research program, would give due heed to the priority subjects listed by the COCOM delegation and that the relatively long term effort in these areas would be coordinated with appropriate EIC members.

It was subsequently agreed that because of the limited time the coordination in IWG would not necessarily seek an agreed paper. CIA analysts would, however, seek to coordinate their intelligence with their opposite numbers in other agencies as the work progressed, and the working papers submitted to the OIT task groups would be submitted to the members of the IWG for comment. In the case of disagreement that could not readily be reconciled, divergent views would be forwarded to the task group chairman. The EDAC Steering Group has expressed a desire for such divergent views where they are not reconcilable. This procedure had also been agreed with Mr. [REDACTED] 25X1A9a of EDS and Mr. [REDACTED] of OIT.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Mr. [REDACTED] stated that copies of both outlines (OIT and OIR) had been given to the analysts.

Miss Worthing, OIR, said they had desired a complete, integrated and self-contained report on the twelve priority categories proposed by the USDEL COCOM rather than an intelligence contribution to the studies proposed by the 29 task groups. She thought a sub-group of IWG should undertake the supervision and coordination of the twelve priority items.

Mr. Brooks stated that the task groups had been set up specifically to serve the Administrator of the Battle Act and that on behalf of that office, he could not agree to any deviation from the program as outlined. 25X1A9a

Mr. [REDACTED] said it was his understanding that the EDAC requirement and the COCOM requirement covered the entire list under review by the task groups and that CIA's commitment covered contributions of available intelligence regarding the Soviet Bloc position on those items. He said that self-contained intelligence reports such as the recent CIA report on electrical generating equipment could be accomplished within the time limit only where their production had been scheduled previously.

The essential differences between the State representatives and the other members of the group were (a) State had desired separate self-contained intelligence studies on the twelve priority items disregarding for the present the other items, whereas the others *agreed* were committed to the program of the task groups on the entire list

under review; and (b) the State representatives desired these twelve priority studies supervised and coordinated by a sub-group of IWG, whereas the others considered that they were committed to studies to be coordinated by the task groups, to which the intelligence agencies would submit contributions.

25X1A9a Mr. [REDACTED] stated that CIA analysts, in collaboration with others, would make the maximum contribution to the task group studies possible within the limited time. He invited contributions and comments from the other agencies and stated that draft working papers would be submitted to the members of the IWG before submission to the task groups.